SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		-	DEFENDANT	S				
VINCE	NT AND MARJORIE LASORA		AMERIQUEST	MORTGAG	E COMPANY	AND BLS	FUNDI	ING CORE
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomer	<u>y</u>	County of Residence	e of First Liste	d Defendant			
(EX	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	-		(IN U.S. F	PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)		
Motther P	Hojahara Faquiro				NATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF THE	3
	Weisberg, Esquire Poet & Weisberg, P.C.			ND INVOLVED.				
14'1	cton Avenue		Sandhya M.	Feltes,	Esquire (See Atta	ched)	
Morton, PA			Kapith Stew	vall				
			910 Harvest	Drive,	Blue Bell	L, PA 19	422	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		ITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		AL PARTIES	Place an "X" in (and One Box		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	PTF		DEF			PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	Ø1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In This		1 4	□ 4
5.	-			_				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□X ⁴ Diversity	Citiz	en of Another State		Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5	∑ 5
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)					Another State		•
			en or Subject of a	O 3 O 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT								
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJU		FEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUTE	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injur	į	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	1 422 Appo	cal 28 USC 158 drawal	400 State R 410 Antitru		nent
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpracti Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		525 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 88		SC 157	☐ 430 Banks : ☐ 450 Commo		ţ
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liabilit	у 🗀 6	30 Liquor Laws		RTY RIGHTS	450 Commo		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	820 Copy		☐ 470 Racket	eer Influence Organizatio	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	_ Liability Liability	□ 6	660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trad		480 Consur	ner Credit	115
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other			490 Cable/S		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 📮 371 Truth in Lendi:	ng	LABOR		SECURITY	☐ 850 Securit	ics/Commod	lities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Dama,		'10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HJA ☐ 862 Blac		Exchang 875 Custom		re
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Dama ☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liabilit	ige 🗇 7	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	s 🛛 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC	3410	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	1	30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	g 🔲 864 SSIC 🗇 865 RSI		□ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricul		lions
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITI 441 Voting 510 Motions to Va		40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation		S (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 892 Econon ☐ 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence		91 Empl. Ret. Inc.		fendant)	894 Energy		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 530 General		Security Act		-Third Party SC 7609	☐ 895 Freedon	m of Informa	ation
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty			20 03	SC 7009	Act 900Appcal	of Fee Deter	rmination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & e Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	Other				Under E to Justic	qual Access	l
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition	on		+		950 Constit	utionality of	,
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	1				State Sta	atutes	
Original Z 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reo	stated or ano	ensferred from other district ecify) ional statutes	6 Multidistr Litigation unless diversity):	ict 🗖 7	Appeal to I Judge from Magistrate Judgment	1
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	_	complain	t:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
3/2/06	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY My a	9. Fette					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Vincent and Marjorie	Lasorsa	CIVIL ACTION	
v.	· :		
Ameriquest Mortgage (: Company and	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a car filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the a designation, that defendants	se Management Track Designer a copy on all defendants. (event that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance tracks, a case management tracks.	y Reduction Plan of this court, couns gnation Form in all civil cases at the times at 1:03 of the plan set forth on the result in not agree with the plaintiff regarding ce, submit to the clerk of court and ser ack designation form specifying the track.	me of everse g said eve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. §2	2241 through §2255.	()
=	requesting review of a deci- nying plaintiff Social Securi	sion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for art	pitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	()
		ial or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(_X)
3/2/06 Date	Sedhyu I. Felg Attorney-at-law	W Ameriquest Mortgage Compar Attorney for	
610-941-2561	610-684-2011	sfeltes@kaplaw.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

Case 2:06-cv-00944-ER Document 1 Filed 03/02/06 Page 3 of 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYL V assignment to appropriate calendar.	ANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be 1	sed by counsel to indicate the cat	tegory of the case for the pur
Address of Plaintiff: 1334 Limekiln Pil	ke, Dresher, PA		
Address of Defendant: 1100 Town and Cou	untry Road, Orange, CA		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Mont	gomery County		
	(Use Reverse Side For Addit	onal Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corpo	rate party with any parent corporation and	any publicly held corporation owni	ng 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement For	m in accordance with Fed.R. Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes Yes	No□X
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibil	lities?	Yes	No X
Case Number: Judge	Date	Perminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered	to any of the following questions:		
. Is this case related to property included in an earli	er numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action i	n this court?
		_	NoX
. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or gr	ow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year pr	eviously terminated
action in this court?		Yes□	_N ₀¤
. Does this case involve the validity or infringement	t of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within	one year previously
terminated action in this court?	, , ,		Not
EIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases	s :
. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al	ll Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract an	d Other Contracts
FELA		2. Airplane Personal Inju	ıry
3. Dones Act-Personal Injury		3. Assault, Defamation	
Antitrust		4. Marine Personal Injur	у
5. Patent		5. Motor Vehicle Person	al Injury
5. Labor-Management Relations		6. Other Personal Injury	(Please specify)
Civil Rights		7. Products Liability	
3. 🗖 Habeas Corpus		8. Products Liability —	Asbestos
). Securities Act(s) Cases		9. 🙀 All other Diversity Ca	ses
0. D Social Security Review Cases		(Please specify)	
 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 		TILA, Neglig	gence
Sadhya II. Felses	ARBITRATION CERTII (Check appropriate Categ , counsel of record do hereby certify	(עמים)	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3	3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge	and belief, the damages recoverab	le in this civil action case
xceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest a			
Relief other than monetary damages is sough	ght.		
PATE: $\frac{3/2/86}{}$	adhyu 1. teltes		3751
	Attorney-at-Law	At	tomey I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo	will be a trial by jury only if ther	has been compliance with	F.R.C.P. 38.
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not xcept as noted above.	related to any case now pending or with	in one year previously terminate	d action in this court
3/2/01	1. De 4 7.	1/2	
TE. 2/2/06	Sandley 1 1. 40	10/	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VINCENT AND MARJORIE LASORSA CIVIL ACTION

INDIVIDUALLY AND AS H/W No.

1334 Limekiln Pike

Dresher, PA 19025

Plaintiffs

v.

AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE COMPANY 1100 Town and Country Road

Orange, CA 92868

and

BLS FUNDING CORP. 125 Jerico Turnpike Jerico, N.Y. 11753

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants, Ameriquest Mortgage Company and BLS Funding Corp., by and through their counsel, hereby remove this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a) and 1441 from the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Pennsylvania to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for the following reasons:

- 1. Plaintiffs, Vincent and Marjorie Lasorsa, commenced this action by Complaint filed on February 3, 2006, in the Court of Common Pleas, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, No. 06-02581 (hereinafter the "State Court Action"). A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 2. The Complaint is the initial pleading received by Defendants setting forth the claims for relief upon which Plaintiffs' action is based. See 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).
 - 3. The Complaint was served upon Defendants by mail on February 9, 2006.

- 4. The Complaint constitutes all records and proceedings to date in the State Court Action.
- 5. As set forth in detail below, this matter may be removed to this Court because there is diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1441(b).
- 6. Plaintiffs are an adult individuals residing in Dresher, Pennsylvania, and are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. *See* Complaint at paragraph 1.
- 7. Defendant, Ameriquest Mortgage Company ("Ameriquest"), is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Orange, California. *See* Complaint at paragraph 2.
- 8. Defendant, BLS Funding Corp. is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in Jericho, New York. *See* Complaint at paragraph 3.
- 9. Accordingly, none of the named defendants are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 10. The Complaint seeks recovery of damages in excess of \$75,000.00. Plaintiffs seek recovery of compensatory and consequential damages, plus interest, counsel fees, costs, and punitive damages. See Complaint at Counts I through IX. Further, Plaintiffs seek recovery of damages under 73 P.S. §201-9.2, including recovery of three times the actual damages suffered by Plaintiffs (which Plaintiffs aver to be in excess of \$50,000.00). See Complaint. Plaintiffs also seek to have their loan (with an original principal amount of \$483,000) to be declared unenforceable. Thus, the amount in controversy well exceeds \$75,000.00.

11. Because diversity of citizenship exists between the parties and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional amount of \$75,000.00, this Court has original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 1332(a). Accordingly, this matter may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§1441(b).

Sandhya M. Feltes, Esquire

KAPLIN STEWART

Union Meeting Corporate Center

910 Harvest Drive

Blue Bell, PA 19422

610-941-2561

610-684-2011 (fax)

Attorney for Defendant,

Ameriquest Mortgage Company

Kimberly Frascella, Esquire

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN &

COURTNEY

1880 JFK BLVD., Suite 1200

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-564-6688

215-564-2526

Attorney for Defendant,

BLS Funding Corp.

EXHIBIT A

	•		
IN THE COURT OF COMMON P	LEAS OF MONTGOMERY	COUNTY, PENNSYLVAN	
Lasursa, Vincent, exal	:	FEB FEB	
vs.	: NO. 06	-02581 3 PS	
Americanest, et al	:	OUNT	
	CIVIL COVER SHEET	PROTHUMO FEB -3 AM 9:03	
Montgomery County Court of Com	mon Pleas. The information system. This form does not	supplement or replace the filing and	
Commencement of Action: (check	Amount in Controversy:		
☑ Complaint ☐ Petition ☐ Notice of Appeal		□ \$50,000 or less	
☐ Writ of Summons ☐ Transfer	From Other Jurisdiction	More than \$50,000	
☐ Declaration of Taking			
Case Type and Code (check the me	ost specific classification ON	VLY):	
Appeals	Real Property	Negligence	
□ DJ – Money Judgment	□ Ejectment	☐ Motor Vehicle	
□ DJ – Landlord/Tenant	☐ Quiet Title	☐ Premises Liability	
☐ Drivers License Suspension	☐ Mechanics Lien	☐ Product Liability	
☐ Vehicle Registration Suspension	☐ Mortgage Foreclosure	☐ Asbestos	
☐ Local Agency	☐ Partition	☐ Other Toxic Tort	
☐ Board of Assessment	□ Replevin	□ Other	
☐ Zoning/Land Use	Other		
		<u>Miscellaneous</u>	
Contract	Professional Liability	☐ Appointment of Arbitrator	
☐ Construction	□ Medical	□ Class Action	
☐ Employment	☐ Dental	☐ Confession of Judgment	
☐ Other	□ Legal	□ Debt Collection	
	□ Other	☐ Eminent Domain	
Intentional Tort		□ Name Change	
☐ Assault/Battery	Equitable Relief	□ Wrongful Death	
☐ Libel/Slander	☐ Declaratory Judgment	_	

☐ Mandamus

☐ Other

☐ Fraud

☐ Other

Other

(specify)

PROCHNIAK, POET & WEISBERG ATTORNEYS AT LAW Attorney I.D. No. 85570 7 SOTUH MORTON AVENUE **MORTON**, PA 19070 **(610)** 690-0801

Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW

VINCENT AND MAJORIE LASORA,

INDIVIDUALLY AND AS H/W

1334 LIMEKILIN PIKE

DRESHER, PA 19025

PLAINTIFF'S,

· VS.

AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE CO. 1 100 TOWN AND COUNTRY ROAD

ORANGE, CA 92868

and

BLS FUNDING CORP. 125 JERICO TURNPIKE JERICO, NY 11753

DEFENDANT'S

Jury Trial Demanded

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this Complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by an attorney and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so, the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

> LAWYER REFERENCE SERVICE MONTGOMERY COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION 100 WEST AIRY STREET (REAR) NORRISTOWN, PA 19401 (610) 279-9660, EXTENSION 201

PROCHNIAK, POET & WEISBERG, P.C. 7 SOUTH MORTON AVENUE

VINCENT AND MARJORIE LASORSA,

MORTON, PA 19070 ATTORNEY ID: 85570

610-690-0801

THIS IS A MAJOR JURY MATTER. ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES REQUIRED

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

INDIVIDUALLY AND AS H/W 1334 LIMEKILN PIKE

DRESHER, PA 19025 Plaintiffs,

No.: 06-02581

v.

AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE CO. 1100 TOWN AND COUNTRY ROAD. ORANGE, CA 92868

and

BLS FUNDING CORP.

125 JERICO TURNPIKE JERICHO, NY 11753

Defendants.

: Jury of Twelve (12) Jurors Demanded

FEB -3 AM 9: 03

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiffs, Vincent and Marjorie LaSorsa, are individuals and husband and wife, at all times material hereto principally residing at the above-captioned address (hereinafter "Premises").
- 2. Defendant, Ameriquest Mortgage Co ("Ameriquest"), is a corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, doing business in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, and maintaining a principal place of business at the above-captioned address with agents authorized to receive service of process at that address.
- 3. Defendant, BLS Funding Corp. ("BLS"), is a corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, doing business in Montgomery

County, Pennsylvania, and maintaining a principal place of business at the abovecaptioned address with agents authorized to receive service of process at that address...

- 4. At all times material, Defendants acted as individuals and/or by and through their agents, officers, directors, servants, workmen, and employees, who were acting within the authorized scope and course of their employment and/or agency for Defendants.
- 5. At all times material, Defendant, Ameriquest, acted as Plaintiffs' mortgage lender, and Defendant, BLS, acted as Plaintiffs' mortgage broker, each acting as individuals and/or agents, representatives and/or alter egos of each other.
- 6. At all times material, Plaintiffs were borrowers and/or mortgagees, allegedly subject and/or parties to a mortgage and note ("loan documents") dated August 28, 2002 ("closing date"). (see attached Exhibit "A").
- 7. Prior to the closing date, Plaintiffs advised Defendant, BLS, that Plaintiffs intended to refinance the subject loan within approximately six ("6") months after the closing date and objected to any pre-payment penalty which could otherwise cause Plaintiffs to incur an unnecessary and cost prohibitive penalty.
- 8. In response to Plaintiffs' above objection, Defendant, BLS, intentionally and fraudulently materially misrepresented to Plaintiffs that the aforesaid pre-payment penalty would be waived by Defendant, Ameriquest, same would be reflected in the subject loan documents at the closing date, and Plaintiffs should proceed to sign said loan documents at that time.
 - 9. At the closing and in detrimental reliance upon Defendant, BLS'

intentional and fraudulent material misrepresentations, the loan documents were executed by Plaintiffs.

- 10. As previously indicated to Defendant, BLS, approximately six (6) months thereafter the closing date, Plaintiffs contacted Defendant, BLS, with a view to refinancing the subject loan only to be advised by said defendant that plaintiffs were effectively prevented from doing so due to the aforesaid disguised and hidden two (2) year prepayment penalty.
- 11. Upon further inspection of the documents surrounding the subject brokerage and loan, Plaintiffs discovered that the signatures affixed to Defendant, BLS' brokerage fee agreement(s) (in the possession of Defendants) appear to be forged by either or both of Defendants, as are the Good Faith Estimate(s) of closing costs.
- 12. At all times material, Defendants conspired to act and/or acted individually as Predatory Lenders, intentionally and knowingly with reckless disregard for the rights and otherwise vulnerable position of Plaintiffs to Plaintiffs detriment and injury and Plaintiffs enrichment.

COUNT I NEGLIGENCE

- 13. Paragraphs 1-12 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 14. As a direct result of Defendants intentional, negligent, careless, and/or reckless misconduct, Defendants were inappropriately enriched to Plaintiffs' detriment, damage and injury, all of which may be permanent, ongoing and/or an aggravation of a pre-existing condition(s), including, but not limited to, Plaintiffs':

- a. financial injury, including unnecessarily high interest rates, closing costs, brokerage fee(s), and such other and further injury directly and/or consequentially resulting from same;
- b. humiliation, embarrassment, emotional distress, inconvenience and annoyance;
- c. attorneys fees, expenses and costs;
- d. damage to credit-worthiness and credit score;
- e. bankruptcy and the direct and/or consequences of same, including attorneys fees, expenses and costs; and
- f. such other and further injury as may be revealed throughout continuing discovery and/or at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), plus such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just.

COUNT II TILA

- 15. Paragraphs 1-14 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 16. At all times material, Defendants, in the ordinary course of business, extended and/or arranged for the extension of consumer credit and/or offered to extend or arrange for the extension of such credit.
- 17. The aforesaid loan was a residential mortgage loan subject to Plaintiffs' right of rescission as described by 15 U.S.C. §1635 and 12 C.F.R. §226.23.
 - 18. In said loan transaction, Plaintiffs did not receive the disclosures required

by the Truth-In-Lending Act ("TILA"), 15 U.S.C. §1601, et. seq. and Regulation Z of the Federal Reserve Board ("Regulation Z"), 12 C.F.R §226.1 et seq., including, but not limited to, notice pursuant to the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994, 15 U.S.C. §1639(a) ("HOEPA").

- 19. Defendants failed to deliver all "material" disclosures required by TILA and Regulation Z, including, *inter alia*:
 - (a) Failing to properly and accurately disclose the "amount financed," as described in and in violation of Regulation Z §226.18(b) and 15 U.S.C. §1638(a)(2)(A);
 - (b) Failing to clearly and accurately disclose the "finance charge" as described in and in violation of Regulation Z §226.4 and 15 U.S.C. §1638(a)(3);
 - (c) Failing to clearly and accurately disclose the "annual percentage rate" as described in and in violation of Regular Z §226.18(e) and 15 U.S.C. §1638(a)(4);
 - (d) Failing to comply with the special disclosure requirements of Regulation Z §226.32; and
 - (e) Failing to timely provide each Plaintiff with two (2) copies of a Notice of his/her Rights to Rescind the Transaction pursuant to TILA and/or HOEPA.
- 20. Due to the violations of TILA and Regulation Z, Plaintiffs
 have an ongoing right to rescind the transaction until receipt of all "material" disclosures
 pursuant to TILA and Regulation Z.
- 21. Defendants have previously rescinded the loan greater than twenty days prior to the filing of this complaint, and Defendants have taken no action to rescind the loan in contravention of their responsibilities under TILA.
 - 22. To the extent this court may find that Plaintiffs have not already rescinded

the loan, Plaintiffs do hereby exercise their right to rescind same and this Complaint shall hereby constitute Plaintiffs' Notice of Rescission pursuant to TILA, 15 U.S.C. §1601, et seq., to which Defendants have twenty (20) days to respond.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT III HOME OWNERSHIP AND EQUITY PROTECTION ACT OF 1994 ("HOEPA")

- 23. Paragraphs 1-22 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 24. The August 28, 2002, loan was a high rate mortgage within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §1602(aa)(1)(B), in that the total points and fees Defendants charged Plaintiffs, in addition to interest, exceeded eight percent of the total loan amount or in that the APR trigger pursuant to HOEPA was met with respect to the transaction.
 - 25. Because the transaction met the statutory definition of a

high rate mortgage, it was subject to the additional disclosure requirements imposed by the TILA amendments contained in the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994, 15 U.S.C. §1639(a).

- 26. The aforesaid additional disclosures must be provided three days in advance of the consummation of the transaction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1639(b).
 - 27. Defendants did not comply with their statutory requirements.
- 28. Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff with an accurate and timely HOPEA disclosure, as required by law, constitutes a "material" disclosure violation, TILA, 15 U.S.C. §1602(u)(as amended), Regulation Z §226.23 (as amended).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT IV RESPA

- 29. Paragraphs 1-28 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 30. At all times material, Plaintiffs made written requests and complaints to defendants.
- 31. The aforesaid requests constitute "Qualified Written Requests" pursuant to the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA"), 12 U.S.C. §2601, et seq.
 - 32. Defendants, however, failed to respond in accordance with RESPA.
- 33. Further, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with an appropriate written explanation or clarification as to why the Defendants believe the account to be accurate as determined by Defendants.
- 34. Defendants also failed to provide Plaintiffs with the name and telephone number of an individual employed by, or the office or department of, the servicer who can provide assistance to the Plaintiff as required by RESPA.
- 35. More than sixty (60) days have elapsed since Defendants' receipt of Plaintiffs' Qualified Written Request and Defendants failed to take appropriate action with respect to Plaintiffs' inquiry in accordance with 12 U.S.C. §2605(e)(2).
- 36. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the unlawful conduct and material misrepresentations of the defendants and by the negligence of the defendants.
- 37. Defendants' improper conduct was willful, knowing, wonton and in reckless disregard to the rights of Plaintiffs.
- 38. At all times material, Defendants were and/or remain creditors within the meaning of the 15 U.S.C. §1601, et seq. and therefore 12 U.S.C. §2601, et seq. applies and requires the Defendants to comply with its loan servicing provisions.

- 39. The transaction in this case were "federally related mortgage loans" within the meaning of RESPA.
- 40. By and through their aforesaid actions, Defendants violated are liable to Plaintiffs under RESPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT V EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT ("ECOA")

- 41. Paragraphs 1-40 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 42. Plaintiffs made an application for credit and Defendants made a counteroffer to their completed credit application, offering to lend them more money than they sought and/or offering to lend on different terms than those sought by Plaintiffs.

43. Defendant did not notify Plaintiffs of their counter-offer or reasons for denying their credit application prior to the loan closing, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1694(e).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT VI FRAUD

- 44. Paragraphs 1-43 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 45. Defendants intentionally misrepresented and/or omitted material facts to Plaintiffs, including the aforesaid (incorporated herein by reference) and as more further described as following, but not limited to: (a) that the loan was beneficial to Plaintiffs, when it was not; (b) that their fees were usual and customary, when they were not; (c)

hat their fees were a part of the finance charge and/or amount financed, when they were not; (d) that their loans were conventional and fixed, when they were not; and (d) that certain amounts were due and owing, when they were not.

- 46. The aforesaid intentional misrepresentations and/or omissions were made in an attempt to procure pecuniary gain from a security interest in Plaintiffs' home and monetary consideration from the Plaintiffs and/or otherwise form the proceeds of the loan.
- 47. As the intended result of the aforesaid fraud, Plaintiffs reasonably relied upon said misrepresentations and/or omissions to their detriment.
- 48. As the direct and proximate results of the said fraud, Plaintiffs have sustained the aforesaid damages (incorporated by reference).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT VII BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 49. Paragraphs 1-48 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 50. At all times material, Plaintiffs and Defendants were parties to the aforesaid express contract(s) (incorporated by reference) and/or contract(s) implied at law.
- 51. By and through their aforesaid actions, Defendants breached their express and/or implied contractual duties.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the said breach of contract, Plaintiffs have sustained damages (incorporated by reference).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- e. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial; and
- f. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit;

COUNT VIII VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA FAIR CREDIT EXTENSION UNIFORMITY ACT ("FCEUA)

53. Paragraphs 1-52 above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at

length herein.

- 54. Defendants sent communications to Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect the mortgage debt
- 55. The collection of a debt in Pennsylvania is regulated by the Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act ("FCEUA"), 73 Pa. C.S. §2270.1 et seq., and the Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ("UTPCPL"), 73 Pa.C.S. 201-1, et seq.
- 56. Defendants are "debt collectors" or "creditors" pursuant to 73 Pa.C.S. §2270.3.
- 57. The alleged debt Defendants were attempting to collect is a "debt" as defined by 73 Pa.C.S. §2270.3.
 - 58. Violations of the FCEUA are a per se violation of the UTPCPL.
- 59. After the failure of Defendants to rescind the loans, Plaintiffs did not owe any further amounts to Defendants.
- 60. To the extent Plaintiffs did owe any further amounts, the amounts alleged to be due and owing by Defendants were false.
- 61. The aforesaid actions of Defendants constitute unfair methods of competition and/or unfair and deceptive acts and practices with in the meaning of the FCEUA and UTPCPL, and by and through the following actions, *inter alia*:
 - a. Using unfair and unconscionable collection methods;
 - b. Giving a false impression of the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt;
 - c. Using false and deceptive collection methods;
 - d. Making threats and/or taking illegal action; and

- e. Otherwise using false, deceptive, misleading, and unfair and unconscionable means to collect and/or attempt to collect a debt
- 62. Defendants actions described above were done with intentional, willful, reckless, wonton and negligent disregard for Plaintiffs' rights under the law and with the purpose of coercing plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 63. As a direct and proximate results of the said actions, Plaintiffs have suffered financial harm and ascertainable loss (incorporated by reference).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief pursuant:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan;
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT VIII VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW ("UTPCPL")

64. Paragraphs 1-63 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length

herein.

- 65. Plaintiffs and Defendants are "Person[s]" as defined by 73 Pa.C.S. §201-2.
- 66. The UTPCPL proscribes, *inter alia*, engaging in any "unfair and deceptive acts or practices" either at, prior to, or subsequent to a consumer transaction.
- 67. The actions of Defendants, as aforesaid (incorporated by reference), constitute unfair or deceptive acts and practices under UTPCPL, additionally including, but not limited to the following, *inter alia*:
 - a. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiffs the character, extent, or amount of the debt or its status in a legal proceeding, 73 P.S. §201-3.1; 37 Pa. Code §303.3(3);
 - Defendants engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which created a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding, 73
 P.S. §201-2(xxi);
 - c. Defendants imposed credit costs expressly prohibited by Federal and Pennsylvania law, and failed to comply with TILA, HOEPA, RESPA, ECOA and the FCEUA which are per se violations of the UTPCPL;
 - d. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiffs that the loan would be beneficial when in fact it was not; and Defendants knew it was not, 79 P.S. §202-1(v); and
 - e. Defendants misrepresented the characteristics or benefits of the loan.
- 68. As a direct and proximate result so the said actions, Plaintiffs have suffered harm (incorporated by reference).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order the following relief:

- a. Rescission of the August 28, 2002 loan, including a declaration that Plaintiffs are not liable for any finance charges or other charges imposed by Defendants;
- b. Termination of any security interest in Plaintiffs' property which may have been created under the loan:
- c. Return of any money or property given by the Plaintiffs to anyone, including the Defendants, in connection with the transaction;
- d. Statutory damages;
- e. Forfeiture and return of loan proceeds;
- f. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- g. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - i. Actual damages;
 - ii. Treble damages;
 - iii. Attorneys fees and expenses, and costs of suit; and
 - iv. Punitive Damages.

COUNT IX – PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 69. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 68 above as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 70. The actions and misconduct set forth above of Defendants were extreme and outrageous and done intentionally and/or recklessly and/or maliciously by said Defendants against Plaintiffs
- 71. Said actions and misconduct were done with bad motives and in wanton, willful and reckless disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs.
- 72. Plaintiffs herewith aver that Punitive Damages are warranted by the aforesaid conduct and actions and as a result of the aforementioned conduct, which is herewith incorporated by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00), and such other and further relief as this Honorable

Court deems necessary and just.

MATTHEW B. WEISBERG, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

The facts contained in the foregoing are true based upon the signer's personal knowledge or information and belief. If the foregoing contains averments which are inconsistent in fact, signer has been unable, after reasonable investigation, to ascertain which of the inconsistent averments are true, but signer has knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief that one of them is true. The language of this pleading is that of counsel and not of signer. This verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 PA C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Signature

Matthew We

Print name

Counsel

TITLE

Date: 1/17/06

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 2, 2006 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal was caused to be served on this date upon the following via first-class mail as follows:

Matthew B. Weisberg Prochniak Poet & Weisberg, P.C. 7 South Morton Avenue Morton, PA 19070

Respectfully submitted,

Sandhya M. Feltes, Esquire

KAPLIN STEWART

350 Sentry Parkway, Building 640

P.O. Box 3037

Blue Bell, PA 19422

610-941-2561

610-825-7076 (fax)

Attorney for Defendants